
Privacy acknowledgement: * I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

Name: * Awil Hussein

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 14 June 2022

Agenda item title: * 6.1 – Neighbourhood key issues and City of Melbourne Projects for North Melbourne for Council meeting

Alternatively you may attach your written submission by uploading your file here:



[rag_city_of_melbourne_council_submission_3_improved_resident_and_council_connection.pdf](#)
298.01 KB · PDF

Please indicate whether you would like to verbally address the Future Melbourne in support of your submission: *

Yes

If yes, please indicate if you would like to make your submission in person, or via a virtual link (Zoom) to the meeting. Please note, physical attendance will be limited in accordance with City of Melbourne security protocols and COVID-safe plans and be allocated on a first registered, first served basis. *

I wish to make my submission in person

Refers to Agenda Item 6.1 - Neighbourhood key issues and City of Melbourne Projects for North Melbourne for Council meeting on 14 June, 2022

North Melbourne Paving the Way Forward (PTWF) Resident Action Group – Council Submission Working Group –

Submission 3 - Improve Council’s connection to Public Housing residents

改善市议会与公屋居民的联系

Name of Presenter: Awil Hussain – North Melbourne Paving the Way Forward Resident Action Group Member

Related City of Melbourne Strategic Objectives -

- 1- **Melbourne’s unique identity and place:** Over the next four years we will celebrate and protect the places, people and cultures that make Melbourne a unique, vibrant and creative city with world-leading liveability.
- 2- **Access and affordability:** Over the next four years, we will reduce economic and social inequality by ensuring universal access to housing, core services and information
- 3- **Safety and wellbeing:** Over the next four years, we will plan and design for the safety and wellbeing of those who live, visit, work and do business in Melbourne, regardless of their background

1 – Submission Contributors

Residents and Resident Action Group members:

[Redacted names of residents and Resident Action Group members]

NM PTWF Community Partner staff:

[Redacted names of NM PTWF Community Partner staff]

2 – Key issues identified on this topic

2.1 Public Housing residents don’t see Council presence in this space. Public Housing Residents in North Melbourne would like Council: 公共住房居民在这个空间看不到市议会的存在。北墨尔本的公屋居民希望市议会：

2.1.1 to improve their connection to the public housing residents

改善他们与公屋居民的联系，

2.1.2 have greater presence in the area 更多出现在该地区，

2.1.3 improve their communication with residents 改善与居民的沟通

2.1.4 be more proactive in making contact with residents 更主动地与居民接触

2.1.5 come out to visit and speak to residents more regularly 更经常地来拜访并与居民交谈

2.2 Residents would like Council to come and provide more talks to residents about health and wellbeing, employment, services etc.

居民们希望市议会前来就健康和幸福、就业、服务等问题与居民进行更多讨论。

2.3 Jobs – how will council leverage some of the redevelopment opportunities to ensure employment of local public housing residents within their social procurement policies?
就业——市议会将如何利用一些重建机会，在其社会采购政策范围内确保当地公屋居民的就业？

2.4 MORE DIVERSE REPRESENTATION ON COUNCIL (AS COUNCILORS) - to have representation from: 在市议会（即市会议员）中有更多元的代表 - 有来自以下方面的代表：

2.4.1 CALD (culturally and linguistically diverse) communities and CALD（文化和语言多元化）社区和

2.4.2 public housing residents 公屋居民

2.5 School Zones – why is North Melbourne in the Flemington school zone (advocacy issue)

学区——为什么北墨尔本在 Flemington 学区（宣传问题）

3 – Questions to Council and action requested

3.1 What will Council do to connect with residents more proactively and holistically?

市议会将如何更积极、更全面地与居民建立联系？

3.2 How will Council commit to improving the understanding of the issues facing public housing residents in North Melbourne?

市议会将如何致力于提高居民对社区面临的问题的理解？

3.3 What will Council do to support residents to be able to better contribute to the decisions being made for residents?

市议会将采取哪些措施来支持居民，以更好地为居民做出的决定做出贡献？

3.4.1 What is Council doing to address the needs of priority groups in the North Melbourne Public Housing estate?

市议会正在采取哪些措施来满足北墨尔本公屋的优先群体的需求？

3.4.2 How does Council support the participation of these groups in Council processes?

市议会会如何支持这些团体参与市议会进程？

3.5. The Residents of the North Melbourne Public Housing estate and North Melbourne Resident Action Group invites Councillors and Council staff to meet residents at the estate to: 北墨尔本公屋居民和北墨尔本居民行动小组邀请议员和议会工作人员与该区的居民会面，以便：

3.5.1 Discuss the matters raised today 讨论今天提出的问题

3.5.2 To show Councillors and staff around our spaces 带议员们和工作人员参观我们的地方

3.5.3 To discuss how we can plan and act together to address residents' concerns

讨论我们可以如何计划和共同行动来解决居民的担忧问题

3.5.4 To facilitate closer relationship between Councillors, Council staff and residents of the North Melbourne Public Housing areas

促进议员、议会工作人员和北墨尔本公屋居民之间更密切的关系

4 – Contact details

- Awil Hussain – North Melbourne Paving the Way Forward Resident Action Group Member
email - Awil Hussein [REDACTED]
- c/- NMLL (North Melbourne Paving the Way Forward Community Partner – lead agency) –
email - [REDACTED]

5 – Responses to questions – in English and Simplified Chinese

Could responses by Council be made in English and Simplified Chinese so Resident Action Group Working Group members can read the responses made by Council about the issues detailed above.

Privacy acknowledgement: *

I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

Name: *

Mahdi Sudi

Email address: *

[REDACTED]

Date of meeting: *

Tuesday 14 June 2022

Agenda item title: *

6.1 – Neighbourhood key issues and City of Melbourne Projects for North Melbourne for Council meeting

Alternatively you may attach your written submission by uploading your file here:



[council_presentation_and_submission_1_safety_issues.docx](#)

258.10 KB · DOCX

Please indicate whether you would like to verbally address the Future Melbourne in support of your submission: *

Yes

If yes, please indicate if you would like to make your submission in person, or via a virtual link (Zoom) to the meeting. Please note, physical attendance will be limited in accordance with City of Melbourne security protocols and COVID-safe plans and be allocated on a first registered, first served basis. *

I wish to make my submission in person

Council Submission Presentation

Suggested Structure for Presentations 3 minutes only

Submission 1 - Safety Issues – road safety and lighting

Introduction

Name

Resident of North Melbourne Public Housing Estate (building if you want)

Active member of the Council Submission Working Group and NM Resident Action Group (PTWF)

What is the issue you are raising?

Briefly outline the issue you are raising

EG – Residents have raised a number of concerns regarding safety issues around their homes and neighbourhoods.

These issues are impacting on residents' ability to freely move around their neighbourhood freely and safely.

This having a negative impact on the resident's safety, wellbeing and reducing the liveability of their neighbourhood

Call to Action

We would like Council to act with regards to the key issues outlined in our submission by:

- implementing road safety treatments in these areas
- improving the lighting of the laneway access from Pampas Street and the Melrose Street shops and
- Improving the footpaths surround these neighbourhoods to enable safe passage of residents within the neighbourhood and ability to maintain their activities through walking and other exercise.

There are a range of other ideas and suggestions that public housing residents have to improve the safety and liveability of the streets surrounding our homes and we would like to invite Councillors and Council staff to meet with us to discuss these issues further which leads to action.

North Melbourne Paving the Way Forward (PTWF) Resident Action Group – Council Submission Working Group –

Submission 1 - Safety Issues – road safety and lighting - 安全☒☒——道路安全和照明

Name of Presenter: Mahdi Sudi – North Melbourne Paving the Way Forward Resident Action Group Member

Related City of Melbourne Strategic Objectives -

- 1- **Melbourne's unique identity and place:** Over the next four years we will celebrate and protect the places, people and cultures that make Melbourne a unique, vibrant and creative city with world-leading liveability.
- 2- **Safety and wellbeing:** Over the next four years, we will plan and design for the safety and wellbeing of those who live, visit, work and do business in Melbourne, regardless of their background.

1 – Submission Contributors

Residents and Resident Action Group members:



NM PTWF Community Partner staff:



2 – Key issues identified on this issue

2.1 Need for a pedestrian crossing from Buncle Street to Woolworths Shopping Centre

从 Buncle Street 到 Woolworths ☒物中心需要一条人行横道

There has been increased pedestrian and car traffic in this area after the development this commercial and apartment building complex. The lack of a pedestrian crossing to navigate safe passage to and from the shopping complex is a serious safety concern for residents. Especially elderly residents and residents with young children.

2.2 Safety at the Melrose Street round-a-about – residents would like traffic lights / safety treatments and improved lighting to ensure safety of pedestrians – particularly as there are many elderly residents living in the vicinity

Melrose Street☒☒的交通安全——居民希望有交通信号灯/安全☒理和改善照明以确保行人的安全——尤其是附近有☒多老年居民

2.3 The footpaths in the local vicinity are uneven and dangerous for elderly residents to walk around in. They would like the footpaths to be improved and a circular walking path to be created to support residents with exercise and walking

当地附近的人行道崎☒不平，老年人走☒很危☒。他☒希望改善人行道，并☒☒一条☒形步行道，以方便居民☒☒和步行



Figure 1- Picture of Melrose Street round-a-bout



Figure 2- Sutton and Buncliff Streets intersection - crossing or other traffic treatment to allow safe passage of pedestrians at this intersection



Figure 3 - The laneway at the end of Pampas street that leads to the shopping strip of Melrose street, North Melbourne



Figure 4 - Canning street - between the Woolworth supermarket and Canning Street Tower

3 – Questions to Council and action requested

3.1 What action will Council take to put into place road safety measures for Melrose, Sutton and Canning Streets to mitigate against safety concerns raised by local residents in these key locations? 市会将采取什么行动 Melrose、Sutton 和 Canning 街施道路安全措施，以减少当地居民一些关键地点的安全？

3.2 Pampas Street residents have raised issues about poor lighting around their neighbourhood. Residents have in particular raised concern about the laneway at the end of Pampas Street leading to the Melrose shops and Canning Street entrance. Pampas Street 的居民提出了他社区周围照明不佳的问题。居民尤其 Pampas Street 尽头通往 Melrose 商店和 Canning Street 入口的巷道表示担忧。

What action will Council take to improve the lighting and safety of this space so that residents can safely and easily move between these spaces and from their homes to access essential services? 市会将采取哪些行动来改善空间的照明和安全性，以便居民可以安全、轻松地在此些空间之间移动并在家中得基本服务？

3.3 The Residents of the North Melbourne Public Housing estate and North Melbourne Resident Action Group invites Councillors and Council staff to meet residents at the estate to: 北墨尔本公屋居民和北墨尔本居民小组邀请市议员和市会工作人员与地区的居民会面，以便：

- Discuss the matters raised today 今天提出的
- To show Councillors and staff around our spaces 市议员和市会工作人员参观我们的地方
- To discuss how we can plan and act together to address residents' concerns 我们可以如何计划和共同行动来解决居民的担忧
- To facilitate closer relationship between Councillors, Council staff and residents of the North Melbourne Public Housing areas 促进市议员、市会工作人员和北墨尔本公屋居民之间更密切的关系

4 – Contact details?

[Redacted contact details]

5 – Responses to questions – in English and Simplified Chinese

Could responses by Council be made in English and Simplified Chinese so Resident Action Group Working Group members can read the responses made by Council about the issues detailed above.

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [CoM Meetings](#)
Subject: Future Melbourne Committee submission form [#2253]
Date: Monday, 13 June 2022 6:33 PM

Privacy acknowledgement: * I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

Name: * Shu'Aib Ali

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 14 June 2022

Agenda item title: * 6.1 – Neighbourhood key issues and City of Melbourne Projects for North Melbourne for Council meeting

Alternatively you may attach your written submission by uploading your file here:



[council_presentation_and_submission_2_lack_of_facilities.docx](#)

37.34 KB · DOCX

Please indicate whether you would like to verbally address the Future Melbourne in support of your submission: * Yes

If yes, please indicate if you would like to make your submission in person, or via a virtual link (Zoom) to the meeting. Please note, physical attendance will be limited in accordance with City of Melbourne security protocols and COVID-safe plans and be allocated on a first registered, first served basis. * I wish to make my submission in person

Council Submission Presentation

Suggested Structure for Presentations 3 minutes only

Submission 2 - Lack of facilities

Presenters – Shu'aib Ali and Deepa Gupta

Introduction

Name

Resident of North Melbourne Public Housing Estate (building if you want)

Active member of the Council Submission Working Group and NM Resident Action Group (PTWF)

What is the issue you are raising?

Briefly outline the issue you are raising

There are numerous issues for residents and that residents have raised around the lack of facilities for this area of North Melbourne. As you can see there are many pent-up issues as outlined in our submission that can be roughly themed in the following:

- Redevelopment issues – Arden Precinct
- Facilities to meet current resident and future resident needs
- Engagement of residents in decision-making
- Relocation of gym from NMCC
- North Melbourne Community Centre (NMCC) redevelopment
- NMCC and JMC other facility tenancies

Call to Action

We would like Council to act with regards to the key issues outlined in our submission by:

- Meeting with residents regarding the current status of the Arden Precinct planning and opportunities for input from residents
- Providing assurances to residents that the NMCC redevelopment will not be side-lined again and that residents will be involved in the planning, delivery and implementation of any redevelopment plans for this space now and in the future
- Outlining how current unmet needs for services and facilities will be addressed and how these will be met for future residents resulting from Arden and Macaulay redevelopments
- Outlining what Council's plans are to engage the diverse residents of the North Melbourne Public Housing estate in the decisions about their homes and neighbourhoods in relation to the many issues that have been raised in our submission and today's Council meeting
- Providing some explanation and context regarding the relocation of the gym from the NMCC – and how this move will not further disadvantage the public housing residents from accessing already scant services and facilities at this end of the neighbourhood (northern part of North Melbourne)
- Outlining what support commitment there will be from Council to support local groups to have a space and support to be able to run events, activities and services for local residents

There are a range of other questions, ideas and suggestions that public housing residents have regarding the current level of access to and provision of facilities for the community.

The Resident Action Group members would like to invite Councillors and Council staff to meet with us and other public housing residents to discuss these issues further which leads to positive outcomes and action for the residents of the public housing areas

North Melbourne Paving the Way Forward (PTWF) Resident Action Group – Council Submission Working Group –

Submission 2 - Lack of facilities 缺乏设施

Name of Presenter: Shu'aib Ali – North Melbourne Paving the Way Forward Resident Action Group Member

Related City of Melbourne Strategic Objectives -

- 1- **Melbourne's unique identity and place:** Over the next four years we will celebrate and protect the places, people and cultures that make Melbourne a unique, vibrant and creative city with world-leading liveability.
- 2- **Access and affordability:** Over the next four years, we will reduce economic and social inequality by ensuring universal access to housing, core services and information
- 3- **Safety and wellbeing:** Over the next four years, we will plan and design for the safety and wellbeing of those who live, visit, work and do business in Melbourne, regardless of their background

1 – Submission Contributors

Residents and Resident Action Group members:



NM PTWF Community Partner staff:



2 – Key issues identified on this topic

2.1 Community Centre redevelopment - what is happening with this, timeframes and Council commitment?

社区中心重建 - 发生了什么，时间表和市政会的承诺？

2.2 Lack of Facilities overall for the north of North Melbourne – including: 北墨尔本北部整体缺乏设施——包括：

- Pool 水池
- facilities for older people 老年人设施
- Community Centre at Pampas Street - Pampas street 社区中心
- Accessible and affordable meeting space for residents 可供居民使用且价格合理的会面场所

Pampas Street residents would like to especially emphasis that they have few facilities available to them. Pampas Street residents are people who are seniors/elders and over 55 years.

2.3 Library services – improve selection of language books – particularly the Chinese elderly residents would like to see a greater selection of Chinese books and newspapers. The residents usually frequent the Flemington Library as the North Melbourne Library is too far. They would like a library closer – for example at the North Melbourne Community Centre

图书馆服务——增加语言书籍的选择——尤其是中国老年居民希望看到更多的中文书籍和报纸。由于北墨尔本图书馆太远，居民通常经常光顾 Flemington 图书馆。他们想要一个更近的图书馆——例如在北墨尔本社区中心

2.4 Pampas street (Melrose St end) to be closed to cars and turned into an open space for the local residents to relax, recreate and enjoy. The Pampas Street (Melrose end) backs onto a laneway – this could be a play, recreation and social engagement space for local residents – especially as there are a large number of elderly residents in this area – greater recreational facilities close by will support health and wellbeing

Pampas 街 (Melrose St 街尾) 禁止车辆通行，并将其变成供当地居民放松、休闲和享受的开放空间。Pampas 街 (Melrose St 街尾) 背靠一条小巷——这可以作为当地居民玩耍、休闲和社交的空间——尤其是因为该地区有大量老年居民——附近有更多的休闲设施将有利于健康和幸福

3 – Questions to Council and action requested

Redevelopment issues – Arden Precinct

1. What is the impact of the Arden Precinct on existing and already limited facilities for the northern part of North Melbourne? Arden Precinct 对北墨尔本北部现有和已经的少量设施有何影响
2. What stage is community consultation up to? How can Council create additional opportunities for residents to understand the impact of this (and Macaulay area) development on their access to facilities, services and ongoing health and wellbeing?
社区咨询到什么阶段了？市议会如何为居民创造更多机会，让他们了解这一（和 Macaulay 地区）发展对他们获得设施、服务以及持续健康和福祉的影响？

Facilities to meet current resident and future resident needs

3. What is Council planning to put into place to ensure that the facilities meet the needs of current and future residents of this area? Especially for seniors and families? For example hydrotherapy pool for seniors – like Ascot Vale Swimming Pool
市议会计划采取哪些措施来确保设施满足该地区当前和未来居民的需求？尤其是老年人和家庭？
4. What is Council's long-term and short-term plans to make sure that the service and facility needs of these communities are being met?
市议会会有哪些长期和短期计划来确保这些社区的服务和设施需求得到满足？
5. What plan does Council have to upgrade and develop the North Melbourne Recreation Centre to meet the diverse needs of the current and future residents of the local area. Especially to support good health and wellbeing outcomes for all age groups and life stages of residents - older residents, families and young people. For example, older residents would like to see facilities like a hydrotherapy pool and other holistic health programs and facilities to support their health and wellbeing outcomes."

Engagement of residents in decision-making

6. How will Council involve residents in these decision-making process and plans? Residents do not want to be informed they wanted to be involved in the decision-making processes.

市议会将如何让居民参与这些决策过程和计划？居民们不想只是被告知，他们想参与决策过程。

Relocation of gym from NMCC

7. The recreational facilities for the residents on the housing estate are already limited....
公屋区域可供居民使用休闲设施已非常有限...

7.1 Why is Council relocating the gym from the NMCC to the Recreation Centre?
为什么市议会会将健身房从 NMCC 搬迁到休闲中心？

7.2 What consultation with residents did Council undertake in making this decision?
市议会在做出此决定与居民行了哪些商榷？

7.3 Why were local residents excluded when Council made their decisions to relocate the gym from this community?
为什么当市议会决定将健身房从社区搬迁，当地居民被排除在外？

7.4 Residents are concerned that they will not be able to afford access to the gym facilities at the Recreation Centre and that the distance poses yet an additional access barrier for residents to access to health and wellbeing facilities and programs.
居民担心他们将无法负担使用休闲中心的健身设施，并且到休闲中心的距离居民使用健康和福利设施和项目来了额外的障碍

North Melbourne Community Centre (NMCC) redevelopment

8. For more than 10 years Council has been talking about the NMCC redevelopment and has engaged in consultation in the past.

十多年来，市议会一直在谈论 NMCC 的重建，并在过去进行过咨询。

The budget papers project that Council will commit \$20,000,000 to the NMCC redevelopment.
预算文件显示，市议会将投入 20,000,000 元用于 NMCC 的重建。

8.1 What commitment and assurances will Council make to the residents of the northern part of North Melbourne that the NMCC redevelopment will go ahead and funding is not diverted as it has been in the past?

市议会将向北墨尔本北部的居民做出哪些承诺和保证，即 NMCC 的重建将继续进行，并且资金不会像过去那样被挪用？

8.2 How will Council involve the residents on the public housing estate in this decision-making process? - Point to the MVCC model of community engagement?

市议会将如何让公屋居民参与这个决策过程？ - 指向社区参与的 MVCC 模型？

8.3 In the mean-time – what access to facilities and services will Council provide to the residents of this part of North Melbourne given that the redevelopment is not scheduled for completion until 2026?

同时——鉴于重建计划要到 2026 年才能完成，市议会将为北墨尔本这一部分的居民提供哪些设施和服务？

NMCC and JMC other facility tenancies

9. As residents we feel that outside organisations have been preferenced to manage the local facilities and tenancies provided to outside organisations that do not directly benefit the residents as a whole.

作为居民，我们更倾向于管理那些提供外部设施的本地设施和租约，这些设施和租约不会直接使居民整体受益。

9.1 How are the facilities tenancies decided? 设施租约如何决定？

9.2 How can the facility and tenancies be managed in a way that: 如何以以下方式管理设施和租约

9.2.1 Benefits the local residents 造福当地居民

9.2.2 Provides access to 'grassroots' groups and organisations to access spaces for them to organise their activities and deliver their services?

为“基层”团体和组织提供访问空间，以便他们组织活动和提供服务？

9.2.3 Involves the residents in the decisions made about them? Not about us, for us, without us!

让居民参与有关他们的决定？不是关于我们，对我们来说，没有我们！

Councillor and Council Staff invitation from public housing residents

10. The Residents of the North Melbourne Public Housing estate and North Melbourne Resident Action Group invites Councillors and Council staff to meet residents at the estate to: 北墨尔本公屋居民和北墨尔本居民行动小组邀请议员和议会工作人员与该区的居民会面，以便：

10.1 Discuss the matters raised today 讨论今天提出的事项

10.2 To show Councillors and staff around our spaces 向议员和工作人员展示我们的地方

10.3 To discuss how we can plan and act together to address residents' concerns 我们可以如何计划和共同行动来解决居民的担忧

10.4 To facilitate closer relationship between Councillors, Council staff and residents of the North Melbourne Public Housing areas 促进议员、议会工作人员和北墨尔本公屋居民之间更密切的关系

4 – Contact details

- [Redacted contact details]

5 – Responses to questions – in English and Simplified Chinese

Could responses by Council be made in English and Simplified Chinese so Resident Action Group Working Group members can read the responses made by Council about the issues detailed above.

Privacy acknowledgement: *

• I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

Name: *

Zachary Sweeney



Date of meeting: *

Tuesday 14 June 2022

Agenda item title: *

6.2 Melbourne Planning Scheme Amendment C417

Alternatively you may attach your written submission by uploading your file here:



[14_june_council_submission_zs.pdf](#)

59.87 KB · PDF

Please indicate whether you would like to verbally address the Future Melbourne in support of your submission: *

No

To Melbourne City Councilors,

As a local resident of North Melbourne I have several comments and concerns around item '6.2 Melbourne Planning Scheme Announcement C417, Macaulay'.

Residential, Commercial, Retail Mix

As stated in the Planning Scheme Amendment, Macaulay aims "to support a genuine mix of uses", and according to the final Structure Plan the precinct will contain "a vibrant mix of shops, offices, spaces for small and medium-sized enterprises and start-ups".

The current Planning Scheme Announcement "encourages a minimum 20 per cent of floor areas as employment or other non-residential use". This threshold is an encouraging start to the precinct, however the encouragement of a certain percentage doesn't necessarily result in a precinct that meets this desired floor area split.

This is especially the case in Macaulay given the developments which have either applied for permit, have a permit approved or are already under construction. Based on the 'City of Melbourne – Development Activity Model' as of Monday 13th June it is already clear that the current development style for the precinct is not in line with the Structure Plan nor this Planning Scheme Amendment. Of 23 developments listed in the Development Activity Model, only 5 developments actually deliver over 20% of floor space to non-residential purposes (14 – 22 Bruce Street, 2 – 50 Elizabeth Street, 103 Boundary Road, 9 – 101 Alfred Street, 87 – 105 Racecourse Road). This leaves 78% of developments in the precinct which do not align with the stated goals of this Planning Scheme Amendment. Without appropriate and urgent consideration to this by council there is every possibility Macaulay will become a solely residential precinct before the first metro train even runs through Arden Station, losing the chance of a truly once in a generation revitalisation of North Melbourne.

A diversity of uses of both individual buildings and the entire precinct is vital to its overall success, and it is important council ensures that it doesn't turn into a medium-density residential precinct that doesn't deliver on the stated desire to deliver a vibrant precinct with a mix of land uses.

The evidence so far suggests on its current path Macaulay is heading straight towards a residential 'build-to-rent' precinct, guaranteeing that residents won't be benefitting from a "vibrant mix" but rather living in a developer's paradise. Council shouldn't just be encouraging a diversity of mixed uses but mandating that it is actually delivered.

Shiel Street – Street wall Height

I support the inclusion of a mandatory maximum street wall height of 3 storeys on Shiel Street, and particularly thank Councillor Leppert for his ongoing responsiveness and support for attempting to maintain the residential nature of the boundary streets of the Macaulay Precinct. This is despite the State Government refusing to respect this residential nature, nor the overshadowing of Gardiner Reserve, through the Big Build development at 3 – 15 Shiel Street.

Traffic Impact of Canning/Vaughan Terrace Traffic Work

I support the integration of proper bike lanes on Canning Street, as well as the implementation of a pedestrian crossing opposite the entry to the Arden Gardens shopping centre.

Whilst supporting the change of Vaughan Terrace into a bike-only thoroughfare, I am concerned about the potential traffic flow impacts onto neighbouring streets, namely Melrose, Shiel, Haines and Dryburgh Streets. Has any modelling been conducted which investigates the impact onto local neighbouring streets, the majority of which have had speed bumps installed over the past few years.

Precincts Vision

As outlined in Attachment 4 there are a range of potential uses for each of the four different precincts defined in the Macaulay Structure Plan. Despite requiring a 3.8% affordable housing contribution across the entire Macaulay precinct, only the Melrose precinct is flagged as having additional public housing. Public housing should be distributed throughout the entirety of Macaulay as opposed to being focused on a single area of the overall Macaulay precinct.

Thank you for considering the above points.

Regards,
Zac Sweeney
13th June 2022